NOV 0 9 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

D.K. Dittrich et al.

Examiner:

Jerome Grant II

Scrial No.:

09/535,859

Group Art Unit:

2626

Filed:

March 27, 2000

Docket No.:

BLD990050US1

TITLE:

METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR REDUCING TONER USAGE

IN PRINT OUTPUT

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR L8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by thesistile to serome Grant II of the U.S. Patent and

Trademark Office at 703-872-9306 on June 14, 2004.

David W. Victor

## RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the final office action dated September 9, 2004 ("Final Office Action"), in which the Examiner allowed claims 17, 20-28, and 33-36, found that claims 3, 6, 8-14, 17, 20, 22-28, 37, and 38 would be allowed if written in independent form and rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 29-32, and 34 as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102) or obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over prior art. The Examiner further rejected claims 39-52 as lacking enablement and indefinite under 35 U.S.C. §112, pars. 1 and 2.

On November 1st, the attorney for Applicants and the Examiner held a phone interview to discuss the rejection. The Examiner suggested a clarification amendment to the claims to clarify that the sub-pel region is less than a region of the pel. The Examiner said he would likely enter this amendment and would consider whether the amended claims are in condition for allowance. Applicants submit the suggested amendment and traverse the prior art and 35 U.S.C. §112, pars. 1 and 2 rejections for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2. Remarks/Arguments begin on page 14.

e: d:

Page 1 of 20

PAGE 4/23 \* RCVD AT 11/9/2004 8:04:12 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/2 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID:3105567984 \* DURATION (mm-ss):06-58